UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,636	07/10/2003	Jay A. Warren	279.044US1	3513
45458 7590 10/07/2010 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/BSC-CRM PO BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/615,636	WARREN, JAY A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alyssa M. Alter	3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ju</u>	ılv 2010				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3)□	, 					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 39-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
<i>′</i> —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
الــا(٥	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 April 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,636 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 and 39-46 have been considered but are not persuasive.

The Applicant has argued that claim 7 is definite since the QRS complex and the T wave are both part of the heart signal. However, claim 7 depends on claim 3. Claim 3 narrows scope of the heart signal to the QRS complex. This sensing of the QRS complex excludes the T wave (i.e., only Q-wave, R-wave, and S-wave). Therefore, since claim 7 is dependant on claim 3, it is unclear how the T wave is determined.

Applicant also argues that Seguine does not provide "temporary adjustment of a frequency response (reduced sensitivity) followed by gradual restoration of the frequency response during a time period that is less than or equal to 500 milliseconds such that the frequency response is restored during the same cardiac cycle as the initiating event". However, Seguine does provide a frequency response time period by does not specifically indicate that it is less than or equal to 500 milliseconds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the time period to restore the frequency response, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (see MPEP 2144.05).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 7 still stands as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 recites the "QRS complex", however claim 7 recites "a T-wave". It is unclear if the Applicant has additional sensing circuitry to detect the T wave or how the T wave is sensed, since the previous claim 3 detects the "QRS complex" and a T-wave is not part of a "QRS complex".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-23 and 39-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seguine et al. (US 6,185,450 B1). Seguine et al. discloses a cardiac rhythm management system with a sensing circuit configured to sense electrical heart signals and having a frequency response that is initiated by a therapy event or event of the heart. Seguine et al. monitors the ECG signal and detects the QRS function through detection marks (e.g., col. 6, lines 51-52). Since the QRS is detected in the ECG signal, Seguine et al. detects an evoked or an intrinsic heart chamber contraction event of the heart signal and thus the frequency response is initiated by an evoked or an intrinsic heart chamber contraction event.

Also, "the monitoring circuit includes an amplifier and a switch for switching the frequency response curve of the monitoring circuit. In a first position, the switch causes the monitoring circuit to have a slow frequency response curve, which allows for accurate monitoring of ECG waveforms. In a second position, the switch causes the monitoring circuit to have a fast frequency response curve, which allows the amplifier of the monitoring circuit to quickly be brought out of saturation" (abstract, lines 4-12).

Additionally, "the amplifier of the monitoring circuit is brought out of saturation according to an adjustment routine. The adjustment routine consists of initially setting the duty cycle of the pulse waveform control signal to a minimum so as to quickly bring the amplifier out of saturation. The duty cycle is then increased in incremental steps until a maximum duty cycle is achieved. The incremental steps may be predetermined or they may be customized according to feedback from the amplifier" (col.3, lines 1-10). Therefore the sensing circuit is temporarily less sensitive to detecting signals and then is followed by a gradual adjustment to become more sensitive.

Additionally, "when the adjustment routine for the duty cycle of the pulse waveform is to be customized according to feedback from the amplifier, a low pass filter is added so as to form an envelope filter" (col. 3, lines 11-15).

Seguine et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the range of time for the first time period. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the time period to restore the frequency response, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only

routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (see MPEP 2144.05). Furthermore, a modification to the range of time for the first time period would provide the predictable results enabling a frequency response to be during the same cardiac cycle or event in order to provide additional cardiac data for monitoring the patient.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,636 Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571)272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Niketa Patel can be reached on (571) 272-4156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Niketa I. Patel/ /Alyssa M Alter/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3762 Examiner

Art Unit 3762